

Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	<input type="checkbox"/> Key Decision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Significant Operational Decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative Decision
Approximate value	<input type="checkbox"/> Below £500,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £500,000 to £1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> over £1,000,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> below £25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £25,000 to £100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £100,000 to £500,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over £500,000	<input type="checkbox"/> below £25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £25,000 to £100,000
Director¹	Director of City Development		
Contact person:	Gordon Maclay	Telephone number: 0113 33 88090	
Subject²:	Section 278 Highway Works Associated with the proposed development of up to 69 dwellings at the former Airedale Mills.		
Decision details³:	<p>What decision has been taken? The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Noted the detail of the highway works provided in paragraph 4 of this report and as shown on the plan included at Appendix 3 of this report; b) Gave authority to negotiate the terms of and enter into an agreement with the developers under the provisions of Section 278 of the Highways Act 1980; whereby the works associated with the development are carried out by the developers under Leeds City Council's supervision; c) Gave authority to request the City Solicitor to advertise a draft Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) to provide 'No Waiting At Any Time' restrictions and 20mph order as shown on the plan included at Appendix 3 of this report; and if no valid objections are received, to make seal and implement the Orders as advertised; d) Approved the adoption of new highway construction on land hatched in red on drawing number 1372/02/02.01/J so that it can be added to the Council's maintenance regime; and e) Gave authority to incur capital expenditure of £20,000, consisting of £10,000 legal costs and £ 10,000 staff costs, all to be fully rechargeable to the developer through the Minor Section 278 agreement. These are subject to estimated coststhrough the Section 278 agreement. These are subject to contractor estimated costs. 		

¹ Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

² If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list

³ Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

	<p>A brief statement of the reasons for the decision</p> <p>To provide improved access arrangements from the A657 Town Street to the proposed development of up to 69 dwellings at the former Airedale Mills.</p> <p>To enable the reconstruction of Moss Bridge Road at the developer's expense.</p> <p>To enable the city solicitor to make, seal and implement Traffic Regulation Orders to accommodate the alterations on the highway and to enable the financing of those Orders.</p>
	Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision
Affected wards:	Bramley & Stanningley and Calverley & Farsley
Details of consultation undertaken⁴:	Executive Member: Councillor Helen Hayden 09/05/22
	<p>Ward Councillors</p> <p>Bramley & Stanningley and Calverley & Farsley ward members were consulted by email on 7th February 2022.</p>
	<p>Others</p> <p>The Emergency Services and West Yorkshire Combined Authority (WYCA) were consulted by email on 7th February 2022. Internal consultation has also been undertaken with colleagues in Highways and Transportation Services by email on 7th February 2022.</p>
Implementation	<p>Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation</p> <p>Richard Middleton – the works must be implemented prior to opening / operation of the proposed development.</p>
List of Forthcoming Key Decisions⁵	Date Added to List:-
	If Special Urgency or General Exception
	<p>If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval</p> <p>Signature _____ Date _____</p>
Publication of report⁶	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason why not possible:

⁴ Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

⁵ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only

⁶ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

	If published late relevant Executive member's approval	
	Signature	Date
Call In	Is the decision available ⁷ for call-in?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
	<p>If exempt from call-in, the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the council or the public:</p> <p>The works are exempt from call in being a consequence of and in pursuance of a regulatory decision.</p> <p>The works contained in this report are are estimated at £20,000, but the impact on the Council's budget and financial risks associated with it are not of this value as the works are fully developer funded. In addition, the works may have an impact on the public, however the scope of the works was confirmed during the planning determination stage, giving the public the opportunity to provide comments. For these reasons, the works contained in this report do not constitute a key decision.</p>	
Approval of Decision	Authorised decision maker ⁸	
	Gary Bartlett, Chief Officer, Highways & Transportation	
	Signature	Date: 04/05/22
		

⁷ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.

⁸ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.